

The word "Labor" is written in white, bold, sans-serif font on a red rectangular background.

10 April 2017

Redistribution Tribunal
C/- Tasmanian Electoral Commission
Reply Paid 83575
MOONAH TAS 7009

submissions@lcredistribution.tas.gov.au

Dear Redistribution Tribunal for Tasmania,

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party is pleased to respond the Further Redistribution Proposal – Substance of the Tribunal’s Findings & Conclusions.

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party is pleased and supportive of the new boundaries of Launceston and McIntyre. However, we wish to reiterate our position regarding the Elwick and Derwent boundaries.

As highlighted in our original submission, The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party supports the current proposal of uniting the suburb of Claremont into the Division of Derwent as this is a sensible approach which will alleviate the current confusion of residents caused by the present Division of the suburb into two different Divisions. Lenah Valley (west) moving from Hobart into Elwick is reasonable as this area is directly connected with Glenorchy via Kalang Ave.

However, we stand by our proposal of Collinsvale being moved from Elwick into Derwent as it is a better fit for the more rural Derwent compared to the urban areas of Chigwell and Berriedale. Derwent already has Molesworth and it makes sense to shift the boundary across to include Collinsvale. Derwent currently has many rural towns in that Division and it would make sense for Collinsvale to be moved from Elwick into Derwent.

The Glenorchy City Council municipality has already been incorporated by the Derwent Division at Granton. Collinsvale is a semi-rural community which is connected through Collins Cap Road. Therefore, the argument to keep Collinsvale in Elwick purely because it is in the Glenorchy City Council boundaries, when other areas such as Claremont and Granton of Glenorchy City Council are already in Derwent does not make sense. There is a strong community of interest for Collinsvale to be connect to the Molesworth community because the demographics of these two suburbs are very similar, with shared interests and community issues.

Berriedale and Chigwell are closely connected and both are part of the Glenorchy City Council. They are very closely aligned and integrated suburbs with close community

of interest and share a clear boundary from Claremont. These two urban suburbs are more suited to the urban electorate of Elwick rather than the more rural Division of Derwent.

Below are our proposed recommendations which keep Berriedale and Chigwell in Elwick, with Derwent gaining Collinsvale from Elwick. This meets the enrolment quota.

The Tasmanian Branch of the Australian Labor Party, whilst recognising there are some significant changes to current Divisions, overall, as outlined in our original proposal, support many of the proposed changes. Where we have an objection to Elwick and Derwent, we believe we have demonstrated sensible and clear community of interest for this recommendations to be supported. Our recommendation meets the enrolment quota.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "S. Benson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Stuart Benson
State Secretary

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	proportion future	proportion current	Labor Proposal
6101401	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	199	198	199	198	Derwent
6101414	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	259	254	0	0	Derwent
6101414	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	259	254	259	254	Derwent

Proposed changes to Collinsvale:

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
Derwent	-458	452
Elwick	-458	-452

SA1_2011	Proposed TEC Changes	SA2_2011	Estimated Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment	proportion future	proportion current	Labor Proposal
6101404	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	213	212	213	212	Elwick
6101405	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	273	268	273	268	Elwick
6101407	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	249	244	0	0	Elwick
6101407	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	249	244	249	244	Elwick
6101409	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	417	414	0	0	Elwick
6101409	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	417	414	417	414	Elwick
6101412	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	177	175	38.94	38.5	Elwick
6101412	Elwick	Berriedale - Chigwell	177	175	138.06	136.5	Elwick
6101413	Derwent	Berriedale - Chigwell	184	180	184	180	Elwick

Proposed changes to Chigwell

Electorate	Future Enrolment	Current Enrolment
Derwent	-458	452
Elwick	-458	-452

Therefore, Labor's proposed changes would change the total enrolments of Elwick & Derwent to the following. All within the bounds of 10% of the average divisional enrolment.

Elwick		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Collinsvale	-452	-458
	Chigwell	1356.5	1374.94
Subtotal		904.5	916.94
TEC Totals		23889	24692
Labor Totals		24793.5	25608.94

Derwent		Current	Future
	Enrolment Changes		
	Collinsvale	452	458
	Chigwell	-1356.5	-1374.94
Subtotal		-904.5	-916.94
TEC Totals		24824	25208
Labor Totals		23919.5	24291.06